

The Invisible Problem in Clean Manufacturing

Why Ionization Alone Isn't Enough for Contamination Control

How combining static control with particle capture transforms contamination control in critical environments.

Executive Summary

In modern manufacturing environments—particularly in medical device, life science, electronics, and high-precision industrial processes—contamination control is critical to product quality and regulatory compliance.

While many facilities rely on airflow management and ionization to mitigate contamination risks, these approaches often overlook a key issue:

👉 **Neutralizing static does not remove particles.**

Without a mechanism to capture contaminants at the source, particles remain in the environment, where they can be redistributed, reattach to surfaces, and ultimately compromise product integrity.

This white paper explores the limitations of ionization-only approaches and presents a more effective strategy: **combining static neutralization with particle capture at the source.**

The Root Cause: Static as a Contamination Driver

Electrostatic charge is one of the most significant—and often underestimated—drivers of contamination in manufacturing environments.

Many commonly used materials, including:

- Plastics
- Films
- Medical-grade polymers
- Insulative components

...readily accumulate and retain surface charge.

This creates two critical problems:

1. Attraction of Particles

Charged surfaces actively attract airborne particles, including dust, fibers, and microscopic contaminants.

2. Adhesion of Contaminants

Once particles are attracted, electrostatic fields can cause them to adhere strongly to surfaces, making them difficult to remove.

Even in controlled environments with laminar airflow, static fields can **override airflow dynamics**, pulling particles toward product surfaces rather than allowing them to be carried away.

👉 The result: contamination is not random—it is actively driven by electrostatic fields.

The Limitation of Ionization Alone

Ionization is widely used to address electrostatic issues—and for good reason. Properly applied ionization systems are highly effective at:

- Neutralizing static charges
- Reducing electrostatic attraction
- Improving material handling and process stability

However, ionization alone introduces a critical gap in contamination control:

👉 **Neutralized particles don't disappear—they become free-floating.**

Once static charge is removed:

- Particles lose adhesion
- But remain in the surrounding environment

Without controlled removal:

- Particles can settle back onto product surfaces
 - Airflow can redistribute contamination
 - Operators may unknowingly reintroduce debris
-

Why This Matters in Critical Environments

This limitation becomes especially significant in industries where cleanliness is non-negotiable:

Medical Device Manufacturing

- Contamination can impact product safety and regulatory compliance
- Even microscopic particles can lead to defects or recalls

Electronics & Semiconductor

- Sensitive components are highly vulnerable to particulate contamination
- Yield losses and reliability issues can result from minor defects

Cleanroom & Packaging Environments

- Maintaining ISO classifications requires strict particulate control

- Recontamination undermines process integrity

👉 In all of these environments, ionization alone addresses only half of the problem.

The Missing Link: Source Capture

A more effective contamination control strategy combines two essential functions:

1. Ionization (Neutralize the Charge)

- Eliminates electrostatic attraction (ESA)
- Releases particles from surfaces

2. Particle Capture (Remove the Contamination)

- Uses vacuum or controlled airflow to remove particles
- Prevents redistribution and reattachment

This integrated approach is known as:

👉 Source Capture During Ionized Blow-Off

Instead of allowing particles to disperse into the environment, contaminants are **captured immediately at the point of generation.**

Ionization Only vs. Ionization + Capture

| Approach | Outcome |
|----------------------|---|
| Ionization Only | Static neutralized, particles redistributed |
| Ionization + Capture | Static neutralized, particles removed |
| Airflow Only | Particles moved, not controlled |
| Integrated System | Controlled, repeatable cleanliness |

Why Source Capture Works

When ionization and particle capture are combined:

- ✓ Particles are removed—not recirculated
- ✓ Recontamination is minimized or eliminated
- ✓ Cleanliness levels become consistent and repeatable
- ✓ Operator-dependent variability is reduced

This leads to measurable improvements in:

- Product quality
 - Yield
 - Process consistency
 - Compliance with cleanliness standards
-

Real-World Applications

Medical Device Manufacturing

- Cleaning critical components prior to assembly
 - Reducing particulate contamination before packaging
 - Supporting validation and regulatory requirements
-

Electronics & Semiconductor

- Eliminating static-driven contamination during assembly
 - Protecting sensitive components
 - Improving yield and reliability
-

Industrial & Plastics Processing

- Cleaning formed or molded parts
 - Removing debris during trimming and finishing
 - Reducing scrap and rework
-

The Role of Integrated Systems

Systems specifically designed for ionized air and particle capture provide:

- **Localized clean zones** at the point of operation
- **HEPA-filtered vacuum systems** for particle removal
- **Optimized airflow design** for effective capture
- **Repeatable, operator-friendly performance**

These systems ensure that contamination is:

👉 **Removed at the source—not allowed to circulate**

A Critical Consideration: Mobile Contamination Risk

In many processes, ionized air is used in open environments without capture.

This creates a hidden risk:

- Particles are dislodged
- But remain in the workspace
- Increasing the likelihood of cross-contamination

👉 Without capture, ionization can unintentionally **redistribute contamination rather than eliminate it.**

Key Takeaway

Ionization is only part of the solution.

👉 If you neutralize static without removing particles, you are not eliminating contamination—you are relocating it.

The most effective contamination control strategy combines:

- **Static neutralization**
 - **Immediate particle capture**
-

Conclusion: A Smarter Approach to Clean Manufacturing

As manufacturing standards continue to evolve—particularly in regulated and high-precision industries—traditional approaches to static control are no longer sufficient.

The future of contamination control lies in integrated solutions that:

- Address the root cause (electrostatic charge)
- Eliminate the result (particulate contamination)

By adopting a **source capture strategy**, manufacturers can achieve:

- Cleaner processes
- Higher product quality
- Reduced defects and rework
- Greater confidence in their operations

About C.C. Steven & Associates

C.C. Steven & Associates, Inc. has been a trusted provider of static mitigation, manufacturing solutions, and particle capture systems since 1978.

As long-time experts in industrial static control, we help manufacturers solve complex contamination challenges through practical, proven solutions.

About the Author

Stephen Carfaro, known throughout the industry as “Dr. Static,” has over 40 years of experience in industrial static control and contamination mitigation. He has worked closely with manufacturers across medical device, electronics, and industrial sectors to solve complex electrostatic and particulate challenges. His practical, application-driven approach has helped companies improve product quality, reduce defects, and implement effective, real-world solutions for static and contamination control.

👉 *We are the Static Experts.*